

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/607,047	FANARA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Susan T. Tran	1615

**All Participants:**

(1) Susan T. Tran.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Warren M. Cheek, Jr..

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 March 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*11*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to amend the limitation "9%" to "9.2%" (support is on page 5 of Declaration filed 09/07/05). Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)